



5 Essentials for ESG-Ready Affected Community Engagement

Practical Strategies for Nature-Dependent Sectors

A Guide by Green Growth Advisory (GGA)

June 2025

Executive Summary

In today's ESG landscape, meaningful engagement between corporations and affected communities is no longer a soft skill – it is a compliance imperative, a reputational safeguard, and a foundation for long-term resilience. For companies operating across forestry, agriculture, renewable energy, mining, and nature-based consumer goods, expectations are rising from regulators, investors, consumers, and communities alike.

Global frameworks such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI), the European Sustainability Reporting Standards (ESRS), and the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures (TNFD) call for clear, inclusive, and ongoing engagement with affected rights holders and stakeholders. These requirements are grounded not only in ESG performance but also in international human rights principles – including the United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs), the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP), the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises on Responsible Business Conduct, and emerging due diligence regulations. Together, they require companies to embed respect for human rights at the heart of their stakeholder engagement strategies.

Yet, translating these requirements into practice in a just, impactful, and cost-effective manner is far from simple.

Yet translating these standards into practice – in ways that are just, impactful, and cost-effective – is far from simple. This guide offers five essentials for building ESG-ready affected community engagement strategies that align with disclosure standards, respect Indigenous Peoples' and local communities' rights, and create shared value. Drawn from field-tested insights and rooted in global frameworks, this is your roadmap to practical, rights-based, and locally grounded engagement.



1. Align with Global Frameworks

Why It Matters:

The regulatory landscape is rapidly evolving. Standards such as GRI 411 (Rights of Indigenous Peoples), GRI 413 (Local Communities), ESRS S3 (Affected Communities), TNFD's LEAP framework, TNFD's Requirement #6, and AFi Core Principles all require companies to demonstrate not only how they identify material community-related impacts – but also how they manage them. For example, under GRI 3-3 (Management of Material Topics), companies must disclose how material impacts are being addressed, monitored, and mitigated – placing affected community engagement squarely within the scope of ESG accountability and performance.

What to Do:

- Map which ESG frameworks apply to your sector and geography.
- Use a framework alignment matrix to identify common principles and requirements.
- Build engagement strategies that reflect FPIC (Free, Prior and Informed Consent), AFi Core Principles, and internationally recognized human rights standards.
- Integrate community-related indicators into internal governance and planning – not just external reporting.

Field Insight:

In a forest commodity supply chain assessment, a company used a TNFD-aligned materiality process but initially lacked meaningful stakeholder input. The analysis was strengthened after incorporating insights from local NGOs and community leaders – elevating both its regulatory alignment and legitimacy with affected groups.



2. Ground Engagement in Local Context

Why It Matters:

One-size-fits-all engagement fails in complex socio-ecological systems. Local governance, history, language, and seasonal rhythms of livelihoods and cultural practice shape how engagement should occur.

What to Do:

- Conduct a place-based analysis of social, cultural, and ecological dynamics.
- Partner with local Indigenous and community knowledge holders.
- Use participatory diagnostics: historical timelines, resource maps, and cultural calendars.
- Validate findings with diverse community members.

Field Insight:

In West Africa, a REDD+ project initially overlooked seasonal land users. After a community mapping process, the company revised its consultation plan, preventing conflict and improving disclosure under GRI 413.

3. Respect Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC)

Why It Matters:

FPIC is not just a legal requirement—it is a trust-building process grounded in the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP). It ensures communities have the time, information, and autonomy to make informed decisions – and that their right to withhold consent is respected and upheld under international standards.

What to Do:



- Treat FPIC as ongoing and iterative, not a one-time checkbox.
- Provide information in culturally appropriate formats and local languages.
- Work through existing governance structures and community facilitators.
- Respect and uphold the right to withhold consent as protected under international standards.

Field Insight:

In a mining corridor in Southeast Asia, early engagement focused only on registered leaders. A second round of facilitated dialogue with an Indigenous subgroup restored legitimacy, avoided delays, and set the stage for shared benefit planning.

4. Map and Prioritize Stakeholders

Why It Matters:

Incomplete or mapping that relies solely on formal registries or institutional lists can miss key voices—or worse, escalate risk.

What to Do:

- Distinguish between affected rights holders and stakeholders.
- Include groups often excluded: women, youth, informal workers, migrant communities, spiritual leaders.
- Build a stakeholder typology to assess influence, vulnerability, and legitimacy.
- Use spatial tools and community-led validation (e.g., participatory mapping, mobile-based surveys).

Field Insight:

In a forest conservation initiative in East Africa, land registries failed to capture mobile pastoralist communities. An NGO-led mapping exercise introduced a seasonal land-use overlay, enabling inclusive governance and compliance with



ESRS S3.

5. Design for Long-Term Value and Accountability

Why It Matters:

Transactional engagement is short-sighted and undermines credibility. Affected communities, ESG frameworks, investors, and consumers increasingly demand evidence of shared value and transparent governance.

What to Do:

- Establish co-designed benefit-sharing agreements with community representatives and facilitators identified through legitimate processes.
- Implement culturally sensitive grievance mechanisms.
- Launch participatory monitoring for social and ecological indicators.
- Embed affected community engagement into ESG disclosure cycles.

Field Insight:

In a renewable energy project in Latin America, a local facilitation team helped launch a community monitoring program that included biodiversity and livelihood indicators. Results fed into both TNFD and GRI 413 disclosures, enhancing investor confidence.

How GGA Can Help

Green Growth Advisory (GGA) supports companies across nature-dependent sectors to design and implement engagement strategies that are:

- **Rights-based:** Aligned with FPIC, UNDRIP, OECD Guidelines, and other international human rights principles and standards
- **Disclosure-ready:** Built to meet ESG requirements related to affected community engagement, including GRI 411, GRI 413, ESRS S3, and TNFD



- **Locally informed:** Developed with affected communities and trusted partners
- **Scalable:** Adaptable to corporate structures, supply chains, and project types

Our tiered service model includes:

- Risk & ESG Readiness Diagnostics
- Affected Community Strategy Design
- Mitigation Co-Development
- Shared Value & Proxy Engagement
- Governance & Reporting Support

Get Started

Want to move from compliance to impact? Join a growing number of companies working to transform ESG engagement into meaningful, measurable outcomes.

Please reach out and book a discovery call:

hello@ggadvisory.org

<https://www.gggadvisory.org>